Obey Olver Rush Torres Sabo Towns Orton Sanders Velazquez Owens Sawyer Vento Schroeder Parker Visclosky Schumer Pastor Ward Payne (NJ) Serrano Watt (NC) Payne (VA) Skaggs Waxman Pelosi Slaughter Williams Peterson (FL) Stark Wise Studds Rangel Woolsey Reed Stupak Wyden Richardson Tanner Wynn Taylor (MS) Rivers Yates Thompson Roemer Roybal-Allard Thornton

#### NOT VOTING-10

Clay Salmon Tucker Hastings (FL) Scott Waters Moakley Sisisky Reynolds Stokes

#### □ 1238

Mr. OWENS changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

Mr. COSTELLO, Mr. RAHALL, Mrs. KENNELLY, and Ms. McCARTHY changed their vote from "no" to "aye." So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid upon the table.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. HASTINGS of Florida. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 683, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

## GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 927, the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 743, THE TEAMWORK FOR EMPLOYEES AND MANAGERS ACT OF 1995

Mr. SOLOMON, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 104–256) on the resolution (H. Res. 226) providing for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 743) to amend the National Labor Relations Act to allow labor management cooperative efforts that improve economic competitiveness in the United States to continue to thrive, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVID-ING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1170, THREE-JUDGE COURT FOR CERTAIN INJUNCTIONS

Mr. SOLOMON, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 104–257) on the resolution (H.

Res. 227) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1170) to provide that cases challenging the constitutionality of measures passed by State referendum be heard by a three-judge court, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVID-ING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1601, INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 1995

Mr. SOLOMON, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 104-258) on the resolution (H. Res. 228) providing for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 1601) to authorize appropriations to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to develop, assemble, and operate the international space station, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES ON H.R. 1530, NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FIS-CAL YEAR 1996

Mr. SPENCE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 1530) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1996 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes, with Senate amendments thereto, disagree to the Senate amendments, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT CONFEREES OFFERED BY MR. DELLUMS

 $\mbox{Mr.}$  DELLUMS. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Dellums moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill H.R. 1530 be instructed to insert upon amounts for authorization of appropriations for Operations and Maintenance accounts such that the total amount of such authorizations is not less than the total amount authorized for Operation and Maintenance accounts in section 301 of the House bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from California [Mr. DELLUMS] will be recognized for 30 minutes, and the gentleman from South Carolina [Mr. SPENCE] will be recognized for 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California [Mr. DELLUMS].

Mr. DELLUMS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. DELLUMS. I yield to the gentlewoman from Colorado. Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from California is normally a person who does not flaunt his background and so forth, and speaks about defense from, you know, his philosophical ideas and so forth.

But I just want to say I ran across a Marine yearbook today, and I uncovered in here that the gentleman from California has had a very distinguished career as a Marine, if, indeed, the gentleman from California is exactly the same RONALD V. DELLUMS who is in here was in the Merit Platoon. I just want to say if this is the same gentleman, I hope everybody listens to this gentleman because if there is anything the Marines know about, it is readiness.

So is the gentleman from California the same one I am seeing here?

Mr. DELLUMS. The gentleman is the same gentleman, about 40-some years old, however.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. The Marines would be very pleased that the gentleman has not forgotten his training about readiness. I truly support the gentleman's motion to instruct, and I thank the gentleman.

Mr. DELLUMS. I thank my distin-

guished colleague.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for her generous remarks.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to offer a motion to instruct conferees on the bill, H.R. 1530, the national defense authorization bill.

Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this motion is very simple. It would assert that the House conferees insist on retaining the amounts that we have already voted to provide for the sufficient training and readiness of our Armed Forces personnel.

Let me take a few moments to place this motion in its proper context.

Mr. Speaker, the President requested \$91.9 billion for readiness, fiscal year 1996. The House bill contains \$94.7 billion for readiness. The Senate bill contains only \$91.7 billion.

The conference, overall, will add about \$7.1 billion to the President's overall budget request for this fiscal year for defense. In this gentleman's humble opinion, Mr. Speaker, we should not use all of this additional money for what I believe to be unnecessary hardware programs. Instead, we should retain the training and readiness funds the House made available to our men and women in uniform.

The majority members on the Committee on National Security started off the year, Mr. Speaker, with a series of hearings outlining what they considered to be the unfunded readiness needs of the service. Indeed, if you will recall, Mr. Speaker, they claim in the bill, H.R. 7, that came to this floor, voted upon by this body, and elsewhere, that insufficient funds for readiness threaten the imminent return to the hollow forces of the 1970's.

# □ 1245

Whether my colleagues agreed or disagreed with that position, that was the